

# OIG Recovery Act Monthly Report

## Monthly Update Report Data (sheet 1 of 4) Version 4.0a

<b>Reporting Entity:</b>	Department of Housing and Urban Development - OIG	
<b>Month Ending Date:</b>	11/30/2009	

Recovery Act Funds Used on Recovery Act Activity								
No.	Agency / Bureau	Recovery Act TAFS	Award Type	US Indicator	Total Obligations	Total Gross Outlays	Direct or Reimbursable	Ordering TAFS
1	Department of Housing and Urban Development - OIG	(86-0190 2009 \ 2013) Housing & Urban Development - OIG - Recovery Act		Y - US	\$84,222	\$84,222	Direct	Not Applicable
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								

Non-Recovery Act Funds Used on Recovery Act Activity							
No.	Agency / Bureau	FY 2009 Non-Recovery Act TAFS	Total FY 2009 Obligations	Total FY 2009 Gross Outlays	FY 2010 Non-Recovery Act TAFS	Total FY 2010 Obligations	Total FY 2010 Gross Outlays
1	Department of Housing and Urban Development - OIG	(86-0189 2009) Housing & Urban Development - OIG	\$2,319,919	\$2,319,919	(86-0189 2010) Housing & Urban Development - OIG	1,098,013	1,098,013
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							

# OIG Recovery Act Monthly Report

## Monthly Update Report Data (sheet 2 of 4) Version 4.0a

Reporting OIG:	Department of Housing and Urban Development - OIG	
Month Ending Date:	11/30/2009	

FTE Working on Recovery			
Fiscal Year	2009	2010	Cumulative
Newly Hired FTE (cumulative):	0.00	0.00	0.00
FTE Funded by Recovery Act Funds (cumulative):	0.00	0.00	0.00
FTE <u>Not</u> Funded by Recovery Act Funds (cumulative):	18.95	7.93	26.88

Testimonies:	
Provided (monthly):	0
Provided (cumulative):	0

Complaints		Whistleblower Reprisal Allegations		Investigations		Audits / Inspections / Evaluations / Reviews		Training / Outreach	
Monthly Data		Monthly Data		Monthly Data		Monthly Data		Monthly Data	
Received:	5	Received:	0	Opened (this month):	2	Initiated (this month):	6	Training Sessions Provided:	2
		Accepted:	0	Active (as of the end of the month):	2	In Process (as of the end of the month):	43	Individuals Trained:	100
		Pending Decision:	0	Completed Final Published Work Products:	2	Hours of Training Provided:	250		
		Closed without Action:	0	Priority Interim Published Work Products:	0	Outreach Sessions Conducted:	2		
		Accepted for Prosecution:	0	Unpublished Work Products*:	0				
		Prosecution Denied:	0						
		Referred for Alternative Resolution:	0						
Cumulative Data Since 2/17/2009		Cumulative Data Since 2/17/2009		Cumulative Data Since 2/17/2009		Cumulative Data Since 2/17/2009		Cumulative Data Since 2/17/2009	
Received:	10	Received:	0	Closed without Action:	1	Completed Final Published Work Products:	35	Training Sessions Provided:	6
		Accepted:	0	Accepted for Prosecution:	0	Priority Interim Published Work Products:	0	Individuals Trained:	288+
		Prosecution Denied:	0	Unpublished Work Products*:	0	Hours of Training Provided:	868		
		Referred for Alternative Resolution:	0	<b>Cumulative Total:</b>	<b>35</b>	Outreach Sessions Conducted:	20		
		<b>Cumulative Total:</b>	<b>1</b>						

\*These work products were not published because they contain proprietary or other sensitive information that cannot be made available to the public.

# OIG Recovery Act Monthly Report

## Monthly Update Report Data (sheet 3 of 4) Version 4.0a

**Reporting OIG:** Department of Housing and Urban Development - OIG

**Month Ending Date:** 11/30/2009

No.	OTHER TYPES OF SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITIES (Completed/On-Going During Reporting Month)
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

No.	OTHER TYPES OF SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITIES (Planned for Next Three Months)
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

## OIG Recovery Act Monthly Report

### Monthly Update Report Data (sheet 4 of 4) Version 4.0a

<b>Reporting OIG:</b>	Department of Housing and Urban	
<b>Month Ending Date:</b>	11/30/2009	

TRAINING ACTIVITIES											
No.	Type of Training	Target Audience	Title of Training	Training Location (City, State)	Date of Training	Length of Training (hours)	Number of Participants	Hours of Training Provided (length x participants)	Cost of Training	Presentation with Other OIGs	Average Evaluation Rating
1	Other	Mixed	OIG's Training to Cook County, IL's Department of Planning and Development-Use of ARRA and HUD Funding	Chicago, IL	11/2/2009	3	50	150	\$0	No	None Completed
2	Fraud Prevention/Awareness	Local	Vulnerability for Fraud in NSP	Cook County, IL	11/4/2009	2	50	100	\$0	No	N/A
3								0			
4								0			
5								0			
6								0			
7								0			
8								0			
9								0			
10								0			
11								0			
12								0			
13								0			
14								0			
15								0			
<b>TOTAL</b>							<b>100</b>	<b>250</b>			

## OIG Recovery Act Monthly Report

**Monthly Update Report Data (sheet 4 of 4) Version 4.0a**

<b>Reporting OIG:</b>	Department of Housing and Urban	
<b>Month Ending Date:</b>	11/30/2009	

OUTREACH ACTIVITIES					
No.	Organization to which Outreach Provided	Number of Organizations Represented at Outreach Session	Description of Outreach	Outreach Location (City, State)	Date of Outreach
1	Pacific Island Auditors at the Western Intergovernmental Audit Forum Conference	40 Auditors	On November 12-13, 2009 RIGA Joan Hobbs and AIGA Jim Heist spoke to a group of about 40 Pacific Island Auditors at the Western Intergovernmental Audit Forum Conference in Honolulu, Hawaii. RIGA Hobbs spoke on the topic of Mortgage Fraud and AIGA Heist spoke on the Recovery Act and how HUD has implemented the Act and what HUDOIG was doing to audit the funds.	Honolulu, Hawaii	November 12-13, 2009
2	Mr. Cohen - Deputy Inspector General for Massachusetts and staff		November 19, 2009 – RIGA John Dvorak, ASAC Michael Wixted and ARIGA Kevin Smullen made a presentation on our oversight efforts for the Recovery Act. Participants were briefed on HUD/OIG’s mission, role, and responsibilities with regard to auditing the Recovery Act funds and detecting and investigating Recovery Act fraud. Mr. Cohen provided that his office would be evaluating the State of Massachusetts’ use of the Recovery Act funds for all federal funds including those provided by HUD. There was an exchange of ideas on how our two offices could jointly ensure that the areas which may be at risk could be evaluated- audited and avoid any duplication of effort.	Boston, MA	11/19/2009
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					